

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction to: The incandescent light bulb phase-out: exploring patterns of framing the governance of discontinuing a socio-technical regime

Peter Stegmaier^{1*} , Vincent R. Visser² and Stefan Kuhlmann¹

Correction to: *Energ Sustain Soc* (2021) 11:14

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13705-021-00287-4>

Following publication of the original article [1], the

authors identified an error in Table 8 and Footnote 10. The correct Table 8 and footnote are given hereafter.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13705-021-00287-4>.

*Correspondence: pstegmaier@utwente.nl

¹ Department of Technology, Policy & Society (TPS), Science, Technology and Policy Studies (STePS) Section, University of Twente, Postbus 217, 7500 AE Enschede, Netherlands

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Table 8 An integration of the issues on both levels

Governance dimensions	Problem-types	Discontinuation issues
<u>Policy instruments</u>	Burdens of replacing technology	Performance issues Impact of production and use of resources Recycling Health issues
	<u>Burdens of/for users</u>	Awareness raising for need of discontinuation Need of knowledge for replacement Comparison of light output of new lamps Image-issues Stockpiling of ILBs
	Burdens of infrastructure <i>Exiting strategy</i>	<u>Costs of discontinuation</u> Need for new eco-labelling <i>Positive vs. Negative sanctions</i>
<u>Implementation</u>	Challenges for industry	Capacity for new production Production loss due to discontinuation
	<i>Burdens of replacing technology</i> <i>Burdens of infrastructure</i>	<i>Need for improvement</i> <i>Retrofitting</i>
<u>Strictness</u>	<u>Burdens of replacing technology</u>	<u>Performance issues</u> <i>Need for improvement</i>
	Burdens of infrastructure	Retrofitting Dim-installation
<u>Monitoring</u>	Burdens of replacing technology	Recycling Health issues
	Burdens of/for users Challenges for industry	Image-issues Circumvention of industry
<u>Policy Level</u>	<u>Existing regulations</u>	National level <u>Supranational level</u> International level

Footnote 10 should read: The single underlined issues correspond on both levels and the issues in *Italics* were not present at the supranational level.

The changes requested are implemented in this correction and the original article [1] has been corrected.

Author details

¹Department of Technology, Policy & Society (TPS), Science, Technology and Policy Studies (STePS) Section, University of Twente, Postbus 217, 7500 AE Enschede, Netherlands. ²Esplanade de Meer 7, 1098WH Amsterdam, Netherlands.

Published online: 15 June 2021

Reference

1. Stegmaier P, Visser VR, Kuhlmann S (2021) The incandescent light bulb phase-out: exploring patterns of framing the governance of discontinuing a socio-technical regime. *Energ Sustain Soc* 11:14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13705-021-00287-4>

Publisher’s Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

